REMARKS

Remark 1:

With all due respect, Applicant submits that the amendments herein overcome the rejections of pending claims 1-20 based on 35 U.S.C. Section 112. In the case of "the UV reactor", Applicant has amended Claim 1 to recite the electromagnetic radiator. In the cases of "the oxidizing species", "the oxidation of water matrix", "the . . . main filter membrane", the definite article "the" is either omitted or converted to a. In the case of Claim 4, the number of the claim has been amended and the dependency changed to that of the independent method claim. In the case of Claim 11, the claim is amended to recite a limitation of the structure of the independent system claim. Terminology is consistent throughout the application and pending claims, and various typographical errors in the originally filed claims have also been corrected in the form of present amendments to the claims.

///

Attorney Docket No.: JB-301

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that for all the foregoing reasons, the claimed subject matter

describes patentable invention. Furthermore, Applicant submits that the specification is adequate and that

the claims are in a condition for allowance. No new matter has been entered.

Applicant hereby respectfully requests Examiner to enter these amendments, find them

descriptive of useful, novel and non-obvious subject matter, and authorize the issuance of a utility patent

for the truly meritorious, deserving invention disclosed and claimed herein.

Without further, Applicant does not intend to waive any claims, arguments or defenses that they

may have in response to any official or informal communication, paper, office action, or otherwise, and

they expressly reserve the right to assert any traverse, additional grounds establishing specificity and

clarity, enablement, novelty, uniqueness, non-obviousness, or other patentability, etc.

Further, nothing herein shall be construed as establishing indirectly the basis for any prosecution

history, file wrapper estoppel, or similar in order to limit or bar any claim of infringement of the

invention described herein, either directly or under applicable doctrine of equivalents.

///

Page 9 of 10

Serial No.: 10/007,310 Attorney Docket No.: JB-301

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 24, 2003

RAYK. SHAHANI ATTORNEY AT LAW

By:

Ray K. Shahani, Esq.

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Ray K. Shahani, Esq.

Registration No.: 37,554

Attorney at Law

Twin Oaks Office Plaza

477 Ninth Avenue, Suite 112

San Mateo, California 94402-1854

Telephone: (650) 348-1444 Facsimile: (650) 348-8655

E-mail: rks@attycubed.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING